

Group 3 Discussion Points

Prof. Man-Sung Yim
Prof. Sung Hwan Kim
Mr. Hosin Choi
Mr. Chris Clement
Mr. John Takala
Mr. Kwang Sik Choi

Group 3

- Noted parallels between bioethics and RP ethics
- Public lack of trust of government experts
- Widespread misapplication and misunderstanding of RP system after Chernobyl and Fukushima points to "something is missing"

Group 3

- Need for simplicity in the RP system
- Fear of radiation:
 - radiation = atomic bomb
- Acceptance of radiation important
- Will wider availability of radiation monitoring equipment help?
- Education very important to overcome misunderstanding

Group 3

- Values of RP ethics
 - Tolerance of people's views (positive and negative aspects)
 - Human dignity
 - Justice
 - Respect for persons
 - Beneficence
 - Prudence
 - Understanding / simplicity
 - Wellbeing
 - Physical, mental, and social aspects

Group 3

- Voluntary nature
 - Choice
 - Engagement with stakeholders
- How can radiation contribute to people's wellbeing?
- Issue of individual variability growing in importance (potential more complexity)
 - Smoking
 - Evacuation of elderly people

Group 3

- Relationship between radiation safety and nuclear safety not clear
 - Are RP specialists too insular?